



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/024,896	12/17/2001	Andrew W. Blackett	6270/72	6270/72 8784	
757	7590 01/20/2004	•	EXAMINER		
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			RODRIGUE	RODRIGUEZ, PAUL L	
P.O. BOX 103 CHICAGO, I			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			2125	0	
			DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004	, 7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



W. 17	Application No.	Applicant(s)	2		
Advisory Action	10/024,896	BLACKETT ET AL.			
navioury notice.	Examiner	Art Unit			
i	Paul L Rodriguez	2125			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
HE REPLY FILED 09 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a nall rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appr originally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or		
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 					
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceliNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	nally rejected claim	s.		
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the		
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-48.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
0. Other:	α				
L. P.P.	LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EX TECHNOLOGY CENTER	(AMINER 2100			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The use of Trademarks in the claims is prohibited by 35 U.S.C. 112. Applicant's arguments regarding monitoring vs. measuring with ADCs are not persuasive. Examiner agrees that Hart et al monitors, the claims are directed toward metering and managing not measuring. Hart et al has an ADC associated with Controller 19, which receives an analog signal and converts it to digital, that's the nature of an ADC. The argument that "...19 does not directly measure..." is not supported by the claim language. Examiner points out col. 2 lines 14-19 that discloses a further aspect of the invention is measuring and col. 10 lines 41-44 that recites metering. Based upon the disclosure Hart et al, monitors, meters and measures. Arguments relating to the housing are not persuasive, the substation, gateway, intelligent controller etc. are all considered to have and would inherently have a housing.